

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,036	03/02/2004	Eric J. Hull	120083-136740	1261
60172 7550 120820099 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 FIFTH, SUITE 3010			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JUSTIN YE	
SEATTLE, WA 98101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/791.036 HULL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Justin Y. Lee 2617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 61-73 and 80-90 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 61-73 and 80-90 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTC/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendment filed on 10/09/09.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 89-90 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 89-90 depend on itself. Appropriate correction is required. Since claims 89-90 having similar limitation as in claims 70-71 so for the purpose of examination, it is assumed that claims 89 and 90 are depending on claim 88.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2617

4. Claims 73, 62-63, 80 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) and Haaramo et al. (US 2006/0211411 A1, hereinafter, Haaramo) and further in view of Cannon et al. (US 7,103,154 B1, hereinafter Cannon).

Consider claim 73. Keinonen et al. disclose a mobile electronic communication device (Fig. 2) comprising:

a transceiver (network transceiver 206, Fig. 2);

a touch-screen display (output/display 202, Fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 67-col. 5, line 1); and

a processor unit coupled to the transceiver and touch-screen display (cpu 208, Fig. 2).

Keinonen et al. do not disclose the processor unit is configured to cause a light unit to light a first virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a first contact of a contact list stored on the mobile electronic communications device, the first contact being the only contact to be associated with said first virtual key, wherein the lighted first virtual key manifests an appearance of being illuminated, and

cause a light unit to light a second virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a second contact of said contact list, the second contact being the only contact to be associated with said second virtual key, wherein the lighted second virtual key manifesting an appearance of being illuminated.

Art Unit: 2617

Tyroler further disclose the processor unit is configured to cause a light unit to light a LED selected from a plurality of LEDs indicate receipt of a message from a first contact of a contact list stored on the mobile electronic communications device, the first contact being the only contact to be associated with said first LED, wherein the lighted first LED manifests an appearance of being illuminated, and cause a light unit to light a second LED selected from a plurality of LEDs to indicate receipt of a message from a second contact of said contact list, the second contact being the only contact to be associated with said second LED, wherein the lighted second LED manifesting an appearance of being illuminated (col. 5, lines 8-26, a LED lights according to a source of a message is received. Each of the priority list can just having one address. For example, the user of the device only input one address for each of the priority list. So a message received from the only address will illuminate one of the LEDs associated with that address. When combined with Keinonen et al. the LEDs can be emulated on the touch-screen display 202 as virtual light sources).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Tyroler into the teachings of Keinonen et al. for the purposes of notifying the user the priority of the received messages (col. 5, lines 8-26).

Keinonen and Tyroler also disclose causing the touch-screen display to display information associated with one or more messages received from the first source and display information associated with one or more messages received from the second

Art Unit: 2617

source. (Keinonen, col. 4, lines 50-52, displaying received information on a display and Tyroler, abstract, displaying the email message).

Keinonen and Tyroler do not disclose displaying information associated with one or more messages received from the first and second source in response to a selection by a user of the first and second virtual key.

Haaramo further discloses listening message associated with one or more messages received from the first and second source in response to a selection by a user of the first and second virtual key (Fig. 8 and paragraph 32 and 62, a message is played according to a selection of the user of a illuminated key. When combined with Keinonen and Tyroler, the group keys of Haaramo can be displayed on the touch screen of Keinonen and a message is played according to the touch of the virtual key. Even though the virtual keypad of Keinonen is not the same as the group keys taught in Haaramo, but it is obvious to modify the virtual keypad to be the virtual group keys).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to play the received information as taught in Haaramo into Keinonen and Tyroler wherein the received information is associated a selected illuminated key by a user for the purposes of ease of use and low cost to produce and maintain (paragraph 10).

Keinonen and Tyroler and Haaramo do not disclose display the message instead of play the voice message.

Art Unit: 2617

Cannon further discloses converting voice message into text message for displaying (Abstract and col. 5, lines 7-19 and col. 8, lines 1-14, the voice message is converted to text message for storage and display).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to display the received information as taught in Cannon into Keinonen and Tyroler and Haaramo for the purposes of saving the memory space of voice memory and the ability to see the message on a display in case the user has hearing problem or when the user is in a place only allowed to read a text message.

Consider claim 80. The combination teaches a mobile electronic communications device comprising:

a transceiver (Keinonen, network transceiver 206, Fig. 2);

a touch-screen display (Keinonen, output/display 202, Fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 67-col. 5, line 1); and

a processor unit coupled to the transceiver and touch-screen display (Keinonen, cpu 208, Fig. 2), wherein the processor unit is configured to

cause a light unit to light one of at least four virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of messages from one of at least four contacts of a contact list, the contact list being stored on the mobile electronic communications device and each of the at least four contacts being associated with one of the at least four virtual keys of the touch-screen display, a first of said at least four contacts being the only contact associated with a first virtual key, a second of said at least four contacts being

Art Unit: 2617

the only contact associated with a second virtual key, a third of said at least four contacts being the only contact associated with a third virtual key and a fourth of said at least four contacts being the only contact associated with a fourth virtual key, and wherein the lighted virtual keys manifest an appearance of being illuminated (Tyroler, col. 5, lines 8-26, a contact list having contacts associated with message notifying LEDs. Haaramo, Fig. 8, at least four group keys can be associated with four different contacts when only one person is added in each of the group key. When combined with Keinonen et al. the LEDs/group keys can be emulated on the touch-screen display 202 as virtual light sources); and

cause the touch-screen display to display information associated with a message from the first of said at least four contacts in response to the selection, by a user, of the first virtual key, display information associated with a message from the second of said at least four contacts in response to the selection, by a user, of the second virtual key, display information associated with a message from the third of said at least four contacts in response to the selection, by a user, of the third virtual key, and display information associated with a message from the fourth of said at least four contacts in response to the selection, by a user, of the fourth virtual key (Keinonen, col. 4, lines 50-52, displaying received information on a display and Tyroler, abstract, displaying the email message and Haaramo, Fig. 8 and paragraph 32 and 62, a message is played according to a selection of the user of a illuminated key. When combined with Keinonen and Tyroler, the group keys of Haaramo can be displayed on the touch screen of Keinonen and a message is played according to the touch of the virtual key. Even

Art Unit: 2617

though the virtual keypad of Keinonen is not the same as the group keys taught in Haaramo, but it is obvious to modify the virtual keypad to be the virtual group keys and Cannon, Abstract and col. 5, lines 7-19 and col. 8, lines 1-14, the voice message is converted to text message for storage and display).

Consider claim 62. The combination further disclose wherein the virtual key manifesting the appearance of being illuminated is associated with a contact, and the message is received from the associated contact (Tyroler, col. 5, lines 8-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Tyroler into the teachings of Keinonen et al. for the purposes of notifying the user the priority of the received messages (col. 5, lines 8-26).

Consider claims 63 and 82. The combination further disclose wherein the processor unit is further configured to operate the first and the second virtual keys in an alternate mode to Initiate a message operation addressed to the first source in response to the selection, by the user, of the first virtual key, and initiate a message operation addressed to the second source in response to the selection, by the user, of the second virtual key (Haaramo, Fig. 8 and paragraph 32 and 62, each of the keys in Fig. 8 can be used alternatively to retrieve messages).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to display the received information as in Keinonen and Tyroler wherein the received information is associated a selected illuminated key by a

Art Unit: 2617

user for the purposes of ease of use and low cost to produce and maintain (paragraph 10).

5. Claims 61 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) and Haaramo et al. (US 2006/0211411 A1, hereinafter, Haaramo) and Cannon et al. (US 7,103,154 B1, hereinafter Cannon) as applied to claims 73 and 80 and further in view of McLaughlin et al. (US 4,975,694).

Consider claims 61 and 81. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon do not disclose wherein the mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to manifest a further appearance of outputting the light with modulation that depends on the received message's type.

McLaughlin et al. further disclose wherein the mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to manifest a further appearance of outputting the light with modulation that depends on the received message's type (col. 6, lines 9-27, different light to light up to indicate different received messages whether the message is a protect state type of message or pre-delete state type of message).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of McLaughlin et al. into the teachings of

Art Unit: 2617

Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon for the purposes of informing the user of a received message.

6. Claims 64-71 and 83-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) and Haaramo et al. (US 2006/0211411 A1, hereinafter, Haaramo) and Cannon et al. (US 7,103,154 B1, hereinafter Cannon) as applied to claims 73 and 80 and further in view of Williams et al. (US 6,753,842).

Consider claims 64 and 83. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon do not disclose wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the virtual key to manifest a further appearance of outputting of light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device.

Williams et al. further disclose wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the virtual key to manifest a further appearance of outputting of light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device (Williams et al., col. 4, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Williams et al. into the teachings of Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon for the purposes of conserving battery power (col. 1, lines 35-39).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claims 65 and 84. The combination further disclose wherein the manifested modulated light has a manifested color that depends on the relative age of a received message (Williams et al., column 1 lines 41-49).

Consider claims 66 and 85. The combination further disclose wherein the manifested modulated light has a manifested blinking rate that indicates a number of unread messages received from a contact (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

Consider claims 67 and 86. The combination further disclose wherein the message is a most recent message received from a contact (Williams et al., column 3 lines 23-35).

Consider claims 68 and 87. The combination further disclose wherein the message is an unread message received from the contact (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

Consider claims 69 and 88. The combination further disclose wherein the relative age is indicated using a plurality of predetermined age categories (Williams et al., column 1 lines 41-49).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claims 70 and 89. The combination further disclose wherein each age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined color of light manifested by the virtual light unit (Williams et al., column 3 lines 23-35).

Consider claims 71 and 90. The combination further disclose wherein each a age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined number of light flashes within a. cycle manifested by the virtual light unit (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

7. Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) and Haaramo et al. (US 2006/0211411 A1, hereinafter, Haaramo) and Cannon et al. (US 7,103,154 B1, hereinafter Cannon) and McLaughlin et al. (US 4,975,694) as applied to claim 61 and further in view of Williams et al. (US 6,753,842).

Consider claim 72. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon and McLaughlin et al. do not disclose wherein the message is a SMS message.

Williams et al. further disclose wherein the message is a SMS message (column 3 lines 23-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Williams et al. into the teachings of Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and Haaramo and Cannon and McLaughlin et al. for the purposes of conserving battery power (col. 1, lines 35-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 73 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-5258. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Thu 9:30 to 8:00.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571)272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Justin Y Lee/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617 12/2/09

/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617